

I represent the CAGNE Town and Parish Council Forum which has around 31 members with a wide geographical spread.

Therefore the key to this for the Forum is that this is not a noise moving exercise but that it is a balanced, transparent and above all fair review of the ILS joining point taking into account all factors (night and day) including height (not just limited to below 7000ft), profile, **frequency**, aircraft type and external factors that influence the procedures e.g ATC ability to join closer than 8nm and NATS 3Di with incentives for fuel efficiency.

Meaningful noise metrics must be used with sufficient granularity to provide a meaningful and understandable output.

Noise should not simply be moved but measures put in place to make it less of an issue for those that are currently impacted. Aircraft are getting quieter so in theory those currently impacted should be impacted less going forward.

Rather than just looking at the current and predicted volumes the study would have a greater impact if it could be linked to a reduction in night flights, mandating of quieter aircraft at night and **charging more for older aircraft** and providing a detailed roadmap to achieving that. Some joined up thinking would produce a better end result.

As the second runway hasn't been approved any output should show the impact with or without the second runway and also the impact to that data should Heathrow R3 not go ahead (which is the premise on which the Consultation data is based).

It was recently demonstrated that Gatwick's competitive edge is also at play here but profit cannot always be put ahead of respite so the line that 'if we don't do it someone else will' needs to be built in to any analysis and limits placed on this type of commercial activity. Gatwick has to demonstrate that it is up for the challenge.

Ideally the output from this study cannot be completed until FED has been defined. Is it FED to moving noise without a full CAP 1616 process?

I believe they are looking to do this already